Pages 1 - 11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD M. CHEN, JUDGE

IN RE TESLA, INC. SECURITIES)
LITIGATION.) No

) No. 18-cv-04865-EMC

San Francisco, California Monday, April 18, 2022

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES: (By Zoom Webinar)

For Movants:

LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP 1101 30th Street NW

Suite 115

Washington, D.C. 20007

BY: NICHOLAS IAN PORRITT, ESQ.

LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP

75 Broadway Suite 202

San Francisco, California 94111

BY: ADAM C. MCCALL, ESQ. ADAM M. APTON, ESQ.

For Defendants:

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

51 Madison Avenue

22nd Floor

New York, New York 10010

BY: ALEXANDER B. SPIRO, ESQ.

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

865 South Figueroa Street

10th Floor

Los Angeles, California 90017

BY: MICHAEL T. LIFRAK, ESQ.

Reported By: BELLE BALL, CSR 8785, CRR, RDR

Official Reporter, U.S. District Court

Monday - April 18, 2022

2:29 p.m.

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: The Court is calling the case
In Regarding Tesla Inc. Securities Litigation, Case
No. 18-4865.

Counsel, please state your appearances for the record, beginning with plaintiff.

MR. PORRITT: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Nicholas

Porritt of Levi & Korsinsky. With me is Adam Apton and Adam

McCall.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MR. SPIRO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. This is Alex Spiro and Michael Lifrak from Quinn Emanuel.

THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. Where do we go from here?

MR. SPIRO: Well, the parties have been conferring via email on potential dates. The Court had asked us to come up with dates that might work and of course hoping that the Court could accommodate setting a schedule. And one sort of set of dates that looks like it would work would be November, after the first week of November.

THE COURT: All right. Let me see. Let's get specific here. After the first week, like November 7, is that what you're thinking?

MR. SPIRO: Yes, Your Honor, somewhere around there.

And then there's another set of dates that I know would work on our end, in December or January. November's actually a little bit tricky, frankly. And there are still some phone calls out on that but that looks okay. I understand that plaintiff essentially cannot do it in December, so January becomes wide open. It's frankly the easiest. But whatever -- obviously, it's the Court's decision.

MR. PORRITT: And Your Honor, that was a preliminary indication. If December is the optimal date, that's something we may be able to do a work-around on.

THE COURT: How long of a trial are expecting at this point?

MR. PORRITT: I think at this point we are still anticipating roughly the same length of time. As we were getting ready for trial, I think both sides were thinking that ten days -- we would have to be very efficient, put that it way, to put on the evidence. So I don't think we are being inefficient by having ten days for the current anticipated testimony.

That's, at least, our view. I don't know if defendants have a different view at this point, but --

MR. PORRITT: So we would still be looking at ten trial days, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Vicky, it looks like if we go into January starting after the Martin Luther King Day on the 17th, I see --

```
1
     well, I see two matters, right?
              THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Correct.
 2
              THE COURT: Which for these days, that's pretty good.
 3
     We go earlier in than that, we run into -- there's already two
 4
 5
     other matters. This would be the third. So, I, I think,
    perhaps more realistically, starting right after the Martin
 6
 7
     Luther King holiday and starting on Tuesday, the 17th of
     January.
 8
          Does that work for you all?
 9
              MR. SPIRO: Yes, Your Honor.
10
11
              MR. PORRITT: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. And I'll set a date. I think
12
     we would want to do the pretrial conference in advance of the
13
     holidays. I don't want to try to squeeze it in just before so
14
15
     I'll figure out a date.
16
          Are there any dates that you're not going to be around
     that I should black out, for December? Or maybe we can just
17
18
     find a date right now.
          Pretrial conferences usually are on Tuesdays. And so if
19
     we did -- let's say -- well, we've got a pretrial conference in
20
21
     the other case on the 13th. Let's see, what about the -- the
22
     12th, on a Monday?
23
                          That's my birthday, Your Honor.
              MR. SPIRO:
    be happy to be the there.
24
```

THE COURT: Excellent. Excellent. 2:30 in the

25

afternoon on the 12th. Does that work? 1 MR. PORRITT: That works for plaintiff, Your Honor. 2 MR. SPIRO: That's fine, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: The 12th at 2:30 for pretrial conference, 4 5 trial to start on 1-17. MR. SPIRO: One other scheduling question I have for 6 the Court? 7 THE COURT: Yeah. 8 I think it might be helpful for the 9 MR. SPIRO: parties, and I wish I had appeared in person before Your Honor 10 11 before having to make requests, but here we are in this Zoom world. 12 13 THE COURT: Yeah. So it strikes me that given the posture of 14 MR. SPIRO: 15 the case and given that some of the motions are relating to 16 damages and the experts, some courts will allow those motions 17 to be filed a little bit earlier. They tend to a little bit more complex. And even if they're not, they very much affect 18 19 the scope of the case.

THE COURT: Yeah.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SPIRO: And given some of the comments from the Court and -- I just think that if we could file those a little bit earlier, it would help the Court, I think it would help the parties, I think it would help shape everything and make this a more efficient process, respectfully.

THE COURT: Are we talking about sort of Daubert motions? Or what kind of motions are we looking at?

MR. SPIRO: They're somewhat -- you know, as

Your Honor's docket indicates they are considered motions

in limine before the Court. I don't know if -- they are

Daubert and Daubert-like and damages-related and those kind of
things that can help really, you know, help both sides

understand the scope of this. And then, you know, that can
lead to all sorts of efficiencies.

THE COURT: All right. I'm certainly open to that.

Rather than postponing until late into trial preparation, if we can narrow some of the issues that are truly amenable to early in limine motions, essentially, what you're asking.

MR. SPIRO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So what, what timeframe do you think would be appropriate, if we have a January trial date and a December pretrial conference?

MR. SPIRO: You know, we would be open to doing it as soon as -- I don't want to put the plaintiffs on the spot, but as soon as the Court wanted. Because again, I just think it will allow for efficiency. I don't mean tomorrow, but, you know, a month out from now, the fall is better for the Court, early fall, that would be fine too. But I do think that it makes good sense. And I think that when, when the Court -- the Court will see it as that.

THE COURT: Yeah. Well, if we have the pretrial conference in December, you need a certain number of weeks in advance to file your pretrial statements and a certain number of weeks before that to meet and confer. So if you work back six weeks, then you need some time to absorb whatever I rule before you meet and confer. So that would suggest some time in September might be a good time to hear this.

MR. PORRITT: Yeah Your Honor, having just heard this proposal which we don't have -- we are not opposed to this necessarily, so I would suggest that the parties -- we've got the key dates here which is the trial date and the pretrial conference date. All the dates for the pretrial submissions and jury instructions and so on are set out in your regular instructions, so we know those essentially.

So perhaps we just consult with defense counsel and we come up with a schedule that we submit to Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's what I was going to suggest.

Actually I'm looking at -- just to give you a heads-up,

September law and motion dates are a little iffy. There seems

to be something going on, a lot of those dates. And then I'm

out the first week of December. So maybe even the last part of

August might be better.

MR. SPIRO: That's fine for the defense. And we can work with plaintiffs on sort of backing into a schedule that fits with Your Honor's schedule. And then perhaps submit

```
something jointly to the Court.
 1
              THE COURT: Yeah. Perhaps something in August would
 2
    be better.
 3
              MR. PORRITT: That's a hearing on this potential
 4
 5
    motion you're thinking, Your Honor?
 6
              THE COURT: Yeah.
 7
              MR. PORRITT: So we can back a briefing schedule off
     of that.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: As long as I get the last brief two weeks
     in advance, it's up to you how much you want to space the
10
     interval.
11
              MR. PORRITT: Very good. That gives us sufficient
12
13
     guidelines, I think, Mr. Spiro, that we can sort of work it out
     from there.
14
15
              MR. SPIRO:
                          I agree. Thank you.
                         So we'll get out at least a new scheduling
16
              THE COURT:
17
     order that has a new trial date, pretrial conference, and then
     we'll fill in the blanks in terms of everything else.
18
          Are we past now -- is discovery closed?
19
              MR. PORRITT: Yes, Your Honor. I don't think there is
20
     -- there's -- there's maybe a couple what I describe as kind of
21
     rats-and-mice sort of issues.
22
23
              THE COURT: But largely done.
              MR. PORRITT: It's basically done, yes, Your Honor.
24
25
     There's no depositions left to be taken, as far as --
```

1 THE COURT: Because we were supposed to go to trial 2 soon. There's technically one deposition left. MR. SPIRO: 3 But I would agree that the discovery issues are not going to 4 5 consume the Court's time. And we're way ahead, now that we have a new trial date. 6 7 MR. PORRITT: Yeah. THE COURT: All right. You can also check 8 with my courtroom deputy if you get a preliminary hearing date, 9 to make sure I am available, whatever Thursday that is. 10 11 just double-check with her, and we'll work it out. 12 MR. SPIRO: Okay. 13 THE COURT: Is there any further ADR -- what's the situation with respect to any ADR efforts, and timing thereof? 14 MR. PORRITT: As Your Honor, I think, was aware, there 15 16 was an unsuccessful effort at the beginning of last year. 17 THE COURT: Yeah. MR. PORRITT: And there's really been no efforts since 18 then, so that's the current status, I think. 19 20 Is there any plan at some juncture to THE COURT: renew those efforts? 21 22 MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, I think, of course, as 23 Your Honor rules in the case, those things become, you know,

ever-present. So it may we that in August, you know, the

decisions and comments lead to things. It's hard to know,

24

25

frankly. But to date, no.

And of course, we entered the case, frankly, recently, after the majority of the discovery was done. Obviously, the Court's aware of that. But I can't give you historics on that.

THE COURT: Where did you leave it with the -- I forget who your mediator was. Where did you leave it with the mediator?

MR. PORRITT: We left it that we would be willing -the plaintiff left it as being willing will to resume if we
thought it would be beneficial. But so far there's been no
real indication that there's much room for maneuver, to try to
reach a resolution. But we obviously would be open to any
mediation or attempt to resolve this before trial.

THE COURT: Let's do this. Let's have a further status conference and see where things are at. I'm going to revisit with you, at that point, mediation.

Why don't we just do a Zoom call in July. Maybe Tuesday, July 19th.

MR. SPIRO: Okay.

THE COURT: 2:30. For a status. And to talk about ADR in particular. So I would like for you to think about it, and maybe talk to each other, meet and confer, to see whether there might be something to discuss at that juncture.

MR. PORRITT: Very good, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right? All right. We'll talk to you

```
then.
 1
               MR. PORRITT: Thank you.
 2
               MR. SPIRO: Thank Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 4
           (Proceedings concluded)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, BELLE BALL, Official Reporter for the United States Court, Northern District of California, hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

BelleBall

/s/ Belle Ball

Belle Ball, CSR 8785, CRR, RDR
Tuesday, May 24, 2022